AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 3, 2005 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 12, 2005

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2005-06 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 771

Introduced by Assembly Member Saldana

February 18, 2005

An act to amend Section 11430.30 of the Government Code, and to amend Sections 30319, 30320, 30321, 30322, and 30326 of, and to repeal Sections 30323, 30324, 30327, and 30329 of, the Public Resources Code, relating to coastal resources.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 771, as amended, Saldana. Coastal resources: California Coastal Commission: ex parte communications.

(1) Existing law provides that a communication otherwise prohibited by a specified provision governing ex parte communication in an administrative adjudication proceeding from an employee or representative of an agency that is a party to the presiding officer is permissible in certain circumstances. One of those circumstances is where the communication is for the purpose of advising the presiding officer concerning specified matters in an adjudicative proceeding that is nonprosecutorial in character, and the advice involves an issue in a proceeding of the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, *the* California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, *the* Delta Protection Commission, *the State* Water Resources Control Board, or a regional water quality control board.

This bill would also allow an ex parte communication under those circumstances where the advice involves an issue in a proceeding of the California Coastal Commission.

AB 771 -2 -

(2) The existing California Coastal Act of 1976 requires a person who applies to the California Coastal Commission for approval of a development permit to provide the commission with the names and addresses of all persons who, for compensation, will be communicating with commission staff on the applicant's behalf or on behalf of the applicant's business partners, and requires that a disclosure be provided to the commission prior to the communication.

This bill would require a person who applies to the commission for approval of a development permit to provide that information, to the extent those communications are allowed under specified provisions governing ex parte communications in an administrative adjudication proceeding, and would additionally require the disclosure be placed in the public record prior *to* an official commission proceeding on the action.

(3) The act provides that for purposes of the act, except as provided, an "ex parte communication" is any oral or written communication between a member of the commission and an interested person, about a matter within the commission's jurisdiction, which does not occur in a public hearing, workshop, or other official proceeding, or on the official record of the proceeding on the matter.

This bill would revise that definition of "ex parte communication" to additionally provide that an "ex parte communication" is any oral or written communication, either direct or indirect, between a member of the commission and any person, and about a matter within the commission's jurisdiction, which and does not occur in a public hearing, workshop, or other official and publicly noticed proceeding, or on the official record of the proceeding on the matter. The bill would also revise provisions describing the types of communications that are not ex parte communications, as specified provide that an ex parte communication relating to a pending or impending adjudicative proceeding is subject to specified provisions governing ex parte communications.

(4) Existing law excludes any communication between a staff member acting in his or her official capacity and an interested person as an ex parte communication.

This bill would instead exclude a communication between specified staff members and nonvoting commission members acting in that capacity as an ex parte communication. The bill would prohibit a commission member from engaging in communication with a person regarding any matter in litigation to which the commission is a party,

-3- AB 771

except as specified. The bill would subject a commission member who knowingly violates those provisions governing ex parte communications to a civil fine, not to exceed \$7,500.

(5) The act defines "a matter within the commission's jurisdiction" to include specified permit actions, reviews, programs, plans, and other prescribed matters.

This bill would additionally include enforcement proceedings as a matter within the commission's jurisdiction.

The bill would eliminate provisions defining the term "interested person" for purposes of the act, and provisions governing requiring the disclosure and reporting of ex parte communications.

(4)

(6) The act authorizes any person, including a commission member, to request the commission staff to conduct a workshop on any matter before the commission or on any subject that could be useful to the commission, and requires that, when the executive director of the commission determines that a request is appropriate and feasible, a workshop be scheduled at an appropriate time and location.

This bill would require that such a workshop be publicly noticed for an appropriate time and location.

(5)

(7) The act prohibits a commission member or alternate from making, participating in making, or in any other way attempting to use his or her official position to influence a commission decision about which the member or alternate has knowingly had an ex parte communication that has not been reported, and imposes a civil penalty not to exceed \$7500 \$7,500 on a commission member who knowingly violates that prohibition.

This bill would eliminate that provision.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 11430.30 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:
- 3 11430.30. A communication otherwise prohibited by Section
- 4 11430.10 from an employee or representative of an agency that is
- 5 a party to the presiding officer is permissible in any of the
- 6 following circumstances:

 $AB 771 \qquad \qquad -4 -$

(a) The communication is for the purpose of assistance and advice to the presiding officer from a person who has not served as investigator, prosecutor, or advocate in the proceeding or its preadjudicative stage. An assistant or advisor may evaluate the evidence in the record but shall not furnish, augment, diminish, or modify the evidence in the record.

- (b) The communication is for the purpose of advising the presiding officer concerning a settlement proposal advocated by the advisor.
- (c) The communication is for the purpose of advising the presiding officer concerning any of the following matters in an adjudicative proceeding that is nonprosecutorial in character:
- (1) The advice involves a technical issue in the proceeding and the advice is necessary for, and is not otherwise reasonably available to, the presiding officer, provided the content of the advice is disclosed on the record and all parties are given an opportunity to address it in the manner provided in Section 11430.50.
- (2) The advice involves an issue in a proceeding of the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, the California Coastal Commission, *the* California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, *the* Delta Protection Commission, *the State* Water Resources Control Board, or a regional water quality control board.
- SEC. 2. Section 30319 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:
- of a development permit shall provide the commission with the names and addresses of all persons who, for compensation, will be communicating with commission staff on the applicant's behalf or on behalf of the applicant's business partners, to the extent those communications are allowed under Article 7 (commencing withn with Section 11430.10) of Chapter 4.5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. That disclosure shall be provided to the commission prior to a communication, and shall be placed in the public record prior to an official commission proceeding on the action. Failure to comply with that disclosure requirement is a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, the person shall be punished by a fine of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) or imprisonment in the county jail not

5 AB 771

exceeding six months, and, in addition, shall be subject to immediate denial of the permit.

1 2

- SEC. 3. Section 30320 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:
- 30320. (a) The people of California find and declare that the duties and responsibilities of the commission are sensitive and extremely important for the well-being of current and future generations and that the public interest and principles of fundamental fairness and due process of law require that the commission conduct its affairs in an open, objective, and impartial manner free of undue influence and the abuse of power and authority. It is further found that, to be effective, California's coastal protection program requires public awareness, understanding, support, participation, and confidence in the commission and its practices and procedures. Accordingly, this article is necessary to preserve the public's welfare and the integrity of, and to maintain the public's trust in, the commission and the implementation of this division.
- (b) The people of California further find that in a democracy, due process, fairness, and the responsible exercise of authority are all essential elements of good government which require that the public's business be conducted in public meetings, with limited exceptions for sensitive personnel matters and litigation in accordance with the law, and on the official record. Reasonable restrictions are necessary and proper to prevent future abuses and misuse of governmental power so long as all members of the public are given adequate opportunities to present their views and opinions through written or oral communications on the official record either before or during the public hearing on any matter before the commission.
- SEC. 4. Section 30321 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:
- 30321. For purposes of this article, "a matter within the commission's jurisdiction" means any permit action, federal consistency review, appeal, local coastal program, port master plan, public works plan, long-range development plan, categorical or other exclusions from coastal development permit requirements, *enforcement proceeding*, or any other quasi-judicial matter requiring commission action, for which an application has been submitted to the commission.

AB 771 -6-

SEC. 4.

 SEC. 5. Section 30322 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:

30322. (a) For purposes of this article, except as provided in subdivision (c), an "ex parte communication" is any oral or written communication, either direct or indirect, between a member of the commission and any an interested person, about a matter within the commission's jurisdiction, which does not occur in a public hearing, workshop, or other official and publicly noticed proceeding, or on the official record of the proceeding on the matter.

- (b) An ex parte communication relating to a pending or impending adjudicative proceeding shall be restricted as provided in Article 7 (commencing with with Section 11430.10) of Chapter 4.5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.
- (c) The following communications are not ex parte communications:
- (1) Any communication between a staff member acting in his or her official capacity and any commission member or other interested person.
- (2) Any communication limited entirely to procedural issues, including, but not limited to, the hearing schedule, location, format, or filing date.
- (3) Any communication that takes place on the record during an official proceeding of a state, regional, or local agency that involves a member of the commission who also serves as an official of that agency.

(3)

(4) Any communication between a member of the commission, with regard to any action of another state agency or of a regional or local agency of which the member is an official, and any other official or employee of that agency, including any person who is acting as an attorney for the agency, unless that agency is a party, as defined in Section 11405.60 of the Government Code, to an action pending or impending before the commission.

38 (4)

39 (5) Any communication between a nonvoting commission 40 member and a staff member of a state agency where both the —7— AB 771

commission member and the staff member are acting in an official capacity.

(6) Any communication to a nonvoting member relating to an action pending before the commission, where the nonvoting commission member does not participate in that action, either through written or verbal communication, on or off the record, with other members of the commission.

(5)

- (d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a commission member shall not engage in a communication with a person regarding any matter in litigation to which the commission is a party, except in a publicly noticed proceeding, or in a closed session as permitted by law, or with the commission's attorneys. party, except for any of the following:
 - (1) In a publicly noticed proceeding.
 - (2) In a closed session, as permitted by law.
- (3) Within formal settlement discussions with the presence of the commission's attorneys.
 - (4) With the commission's attorneys.

20 (d)

- (e) In addition to any other applicable penalty, including a civil fine imposed pursuant to Section 30824, a commission member who *knowingly* violates this section is subject to a civil fine, not to exceed seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500) for each communication. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the court may award attorneys' fees and costs to the prevailing party.
- SEC. 5. Section 30323 of the Public Resources Code is repealed.
- SEC. 6. Section 30324 of the Public Resources Code is repealed.
- SEC. 7. Section 30326 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:
- 30326. A person, including a commission member, may request the commission staff to conduct a workshop on any matter before the commission or on any subject that could be useful to the commission. When the executive director determines that a request is appropriate and feasible, a workshop shall be scheduled at, and publicly noticed for, an appropriate time and location.

AB 771 —8—

- 1 SEC. 8. Section 30327 of the Public Resources Code is
- 2 repealed.
- 3 SEC. 9. Section 30329 of the Public Resources Code is
- 4 repealed.